

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 12, 1999      LB 126

any more amendments to the committee amendments, but I shall have some to the bill.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Landis, on the committee amendments.

SENATOR LANDIS: Madam Speaker, members of the Legislature, if you take a look in your bill book or your laptop, up here, you'll see a long list of proponents for the bill, of the green copy. And I...I rise to endorse the work of the introduction of the bill rather than the amendment. I'm going to vote against the committee amendments. Now there was a principle that I think the body got down to, and Senator Chambers put us through the exercise of going through that on a very deliberative fashion. And here's what I interpret the body to say, and I think it's not unreasonable, and that is for compliance checks there should be procedures. That's about what we left in from the work that Ernie did. And, by the way, what police work wouldn't we want to have some procedures for? There's a great deal of arbitrary behavior out there possible, and as a matter of fact I've heard Senator Chambers wax eloquent on the need for policies on such things as high speed chases. You want to have some reasonable policies. So the notion of what we've said is, look, here is the nub of the idea that the committee reported out that we like, which is that there should be some policies; but we got to tell you, the ones that you chose to write down, we don't like very well; we don't like the presumptions that you stuck in there; we're not sure we want to stick 'em in statute; probably ought to be a more flowing situation then, but there ought to be...there ought to be some guidelines for behavior. That...that's not unreasonable, so I endorse that principle. Here's what I'd suggest, I'd suggest you kill the committee amendment and you put back into the bill the elements you want. Rather than start with a committee amendment that's flawed and take things out and try to make the adjustment there, start with the green copy, a bill that had 20 proponents, in the laptop, and, if there is something that's left of the committee amendments that the body wants to endorse, put it in piecemeal and endorse it that way, rather than the way we're going now. I'm going to vote against the committee amendments. I like Senator Schellpeper's LB 126. There are some adjustments I could make to that bill, but I'd like to do it on an affirmative